

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/643,026	VANHAMEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher P. Bruenjes	1772	

All Participants:
Status of Application: amendment after final

 (1) Christopher P. Bruenjes (PTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Vincent Gustafson (applicant's representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 August 2006
Time: 2:00 pm
Type of Interview:


- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none of record
Claims discussed:
50-80, 82, 84, and 86
Prior art documents discussed:
none of record
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant provided permission to cancel claims 50-80, 82, 84, and 86 and to change the title of the invention as reflected in the Examiner's Amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)